arXiv:1401.2375v1 [math.DG] 10 Jan 2014

GEOMETRY OF THE ABEL EQUATION OF THE FIRST KIND

OUMAR WONE

Abstract. We study the first kind Abel differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = c_0(x) + 3c_1(x)y + 3c_2y^2 + c_3(x)y^3,$$

where the functions c_i are real analytic. The first step of our analysis is through the Cartan equivalence method, then we use techniques from representation theory; this latter mean allows us to exhibit an affine connection and hence a covariant derivative on the space of differential invariants of the Abel equation. In the context of Abel equation, this affine connection is similar to the connection (called Frobenius-Stickelberger connexion in [2]) given by the quasi-modular Eisenstein series E_2, which permits to define a covariant derivative in the space of modular forms and is a solution of a Chazy type equation.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. The Cartan theoretic study of the Abel equation 3
2.1. Cartan's method 4
3. Representation theoretic study of the Abel equation 7
3.1. Weight and Degree 7
3.2. Canonical form 8
References 12

1. Introduction

Our aim in the present paper is a study of the Abel Equation

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = c_0(x) + 3c_1(x)y + 3c_2(x)y^2 + c_3(x)y^3. \tag{1.1}$$

The latter equation was first studied by Abel in [1] in his study of the theory of elliptic functions. In fact Abel studied an equation of the form

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{c_0(x) + 3c_1(x)y + 3c_2y^2 + c_3(x)y^3}{b_0(x) + b_1(x)y},$$

which is called the second kind Abel differential equation and which can be brought to the first kind Abel equation whenever $b_1 \neq 0$, by the transformation $z = \dfrac{1}{b_0 + b_1y}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A26, 58A15 Primary, 34A34(secondary).

Key words and phrases. Cartan equivalence method, Abel equation, Affine connection, Covariant derivative.

Let us be more precise with our main goal for studying such an equation. In the theory of modular forms, the Eisenstein series E_2 which is solution of an equation of Chazy type, is quasi-modular. It is this quasi-modularity, which at first sight seems unworthy of interest, which makes it remarkable. It enables us to define an affine connection, the so-called Frobenius-Stickelberger connection, and therefore a covariant derivative on a set of tensors of degree n for the Mobius transformations, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For more details, we refer to [2, p. 150-151, 159]. Also on the notions of connection one may consult [5].

The main goal of this work is to show that the Abel equation can play the same role as the Chazy equation allowing us to define an affine connection and a covariant derivative. This is our main result

Main Theorem. $\dfrac{\left(\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)\right)}{c_3}$ *is an affine connection. Therefore for any* $n \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\nabla_n = \frac{d}{dx} - \frac{n\left(\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)\right)}{c_3}$$

is a covariant derivative.

We describe now our work. We begin studying the Abel equation via the method of equivalence of Cartan. Then we use methods from classical invariant theory to obtain invariants of the Abel differential equation under a class of local transformations.

To be more precise we study the equivalence of the two general first order ODEs

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x,y) = c_0(x) + 3c_1(x)y + 3c_2(x)y^2 + c_3(x)y^3,\ c_3 \neq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$\frac{dY}{dX} = F(X,Y) = C_0(X) + 3C_1(X)Y + 3C_2(X)Y^2 + C_3(X)Y^3,\ C_3 \neq 0 \tag{1.3}$$

with f and F, C^ω functions, under the real analytic transformations

$$\frac{dx}{dX} = u(X),\ u \neq 0; \quad y = uY + \nu(X) \tag{1.4}$$

using the Cartan equivalence method. This enables us to show that equation (1.2) admits the fundamental local invariant

$$I = \frac{f_{yy}f}{u^2},$$

under transformations (1.4), with u a never vanishing local parameter. See section 2.

Then we use representation theory in section 3 to study the equivalence classes of the Abel equation under the more general pseudo-group

$$y = \eta(\xi)u(x) + \nu(x); \quad \frac{d\xi}{dx} = \mu(x); \tag{1.5}$$

It is in the sense of classical invariant theory or representation theory that we mean the invariance. Let us remind the paradigm of classical invariant theory, limiting ourselves to

the case of a binary quadratic form to fix ideas. Let then be given such a form $f_0(x,y) = a_0x^2 + 2a_1xy + a_2y^2$ with the linear group $GL(2,\mathbb{C})$ acting on it via the transformation

$$\begin{cases} x &= aX + bY \\ y &= cX + dY \end{cases}$$

This action induces another one on the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[a_0, a_1, a_2]$ and an invariant $I(a_0, a_1, a_2)$ of f_0 is a function multiplied by a power of the determinant of g_0 when one replaces the a_i by their new values. It is well known that the binary quadratic form has a unique invariant (up to multiplication by a fixed constant) namely its discriminant $\Delta_0 = a_1^2 - a_0a_2$. It is of degree two in the coefficients of the quadratic form. In the same vein transformations (1.5) induce an action on the coefficients c_i of equation (1.1) and on their derivatives. And we look for expressions of the latter which are multiplied by a certain factor only depending on u, μ, ν, when we change the c_i and their derivatives by their new values after transformation (1.5) is made. With this we are able to show that c_3 as well as

$$s_3 = c_3\frac{dc_2}{dx} - c_2\frac{dc_3}{dx} - 3c_1c_2c_3 + 2c_2^3 + c_0c_3^2 \tag{1.6}$$

are invariants. s_3 appears naturally when one does the so-called reduction the canonical form, see section 3. Starting from s_3 one builds an hierarchy of expressions

$$s_{2n+1} = c_3\frac{d}{dx}s_{2n-1} - (2n-1)s_{2n-1}\left[\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3(c_1c_3 - c_2^2)\right], \quad n \geqslant 2$$

which are also invariants in the previous sense. We introduce also natural filtrations by weight and degree on the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}\left[c_i, \frac{dc_i}{dx}, \cdots, 1 \leq i \leq 4\right]$. We then exhibit some interesting properties of invariants s_{2n+1}, $n \geq 1$ and show the main theorem.

Finally we give the moduli or classifying space of the Abel equation under the action of the "gauge" group (1.5). It is a two dimensional Lie transformation group.

Our principal results are in sections 2 and 3.

2. The Cartan theoretic study of the Abel equation

We begin with the following:

Definition 2.1. A pseudo-group on a manifold S is a collection Γ of local diffeomorphisms between open subsets of S satisfying the following properties:

- For every open set U in S, the identity map on U, Id_U is in Γ.
- If $f \in \Gamma$ then so does f^{-1}.
- If $f \in \Gamma$, then the restriction of f to an arbitrary open subset of its domain of definition is in Γ.
- If an open set U is the union of open sets $\{U_i\}$ and f is a diffeomorphism from U to some open subset of S such that the restriction of f to every U_i is in Γ, then $f \in \Gamma$.
- If $f : U \to V$ and $f' : U' \to V'$ are in Γ and the intersection $V \cap U'$ is not empty, then the following restricted composition

$$f' \circ f : f^{-1}(V \cap U') \to f'(V \cap U'),$$

is in Γ.

In order to apply Cartan's method one needs a more particular type of pseudo-group, namely a Lie pseudo-group

Definition 2.2. A Lie pseudo-group is a pseudo-group whose transformations have components which are the solutions of a system of partial differential equations, named equations of definition. A Lie pseudo group will be said to be of finite dimension if the general solutions of the equations of definition depend on a finite number of arbitrary parameters, infinite if on the contrary these components are functions of arbitrary functions or an infinite number of parameters. As an example we remark that contact transformations form also a Lie pseudo-group and also the transformations (1.4). See [6].

Remark 2.3. The choice of the form of the pseudo-group (1.4) is motivated by the constraints of the moving frame method; it was chosen in order to exhibit local invariants.

2.1. **Cartan's method.** We recall that two Abel equations (1.2) and (1.3)

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$$

and

$$\frac{dY}{dX} = F(X, Y)$$

are equivalent under the transformations (1.4) if and only if there exists such a transformation which sends solutions of the source equation to solutions of the target equation. In order to put the equivalence problem of the two first order ODEs into an equivalence problem of Cartan, one has to put it into a pfaffian system.

Lemma 2.4. *The solutions of* (1.2) *are into one to one correspondence with the one-integral manifolds of the pfaffian system* $(I = \langle \omega^1 \rangle, dx)$ *with independence condition on* dx*:* $\omega^1 = dy - f(x, y)dx$*.* x*,* y *are the standard coordinates on the jet space* $J^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) := J^0$*.*

Proof. An integral curve or manifold of $(I = \langle \omega^1 \rangle, dx)$ is a curve $s : \mathbb{R} \to J^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$:

$$s^*\omega^1_U = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s^*(\omega_2 = dx) \neq 0.$$

So there exists $c : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $s = (x, c(x))$, ie $\dfrac{dc}{dx} = f(x, c(x))$. □

We first remark that in order to treat the equivalence problem, we may restrict ourselves to one given equation for instance equation (1.2). The treatment is similar for the other.

Now given a local diffeomorphism Φ of the form (1.4) which realizes the equivalence between equations (1.2) and (1.3), it must preserve the integral curves. Its jacobian must also satisfy some other conditions. Let us take the two coframes

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^1 &= dy - f dx \\ \omega^2 &= dx \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega^2 &= dx \\ \omega^3 &= dy. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

on some open subset U of $J^0(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R})$. Let $\overline{\omega}^1$, $\overline{\omega}^2$ and $\overline{\omega}^3$ be their respective pullbacks under Φ. One has

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\omega}^1 \\ \overline{\omega}^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \end{pmatrix},\ u \neq 0$$

with the last condition expressing the fact that Φ preserves the integral curves and is fiber-preserving, and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\omega}^2 \\ \overline{\omega}^3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ a & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^2 \\ \omega^3 \end{pmatrix},\ u \neq 0$$

which means that Φ is a transformation of the prescribed type given by equation (1.4). One has therefore an overdetermined equivalence problem meaning that the conditions are given on a set of linearly dependent one-forms. In order to put it into a determined one we must normalize. We apply the procedure in [3, lect. 5].

Set $\Theta := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\omega}^1 \\ \overline{\omega}^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\Gamma := \begin{pmatrix} \overline{\omega}^2 \\ \overline{\omega}^3 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $\Theta = \dfrac{1}{u^2} \begin{pmatrix} -u^2 f - ua & u^2 \\ u^2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Gamma$. We normalize by setting $a = -uf$. This induces $\widetilde{\Theta} = -\dfrac{1}{f} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \omega^1 \\ \omega^2 \end{pmatrix}$. A fixed coframe of the latter set is given by $\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{\omega^1}{f_2} \\ -\dfrac{\omega^2}{f} \end{pmatrix}$. Moreover the elements $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix},\ u \neq 0 \right\}$ whose action on $\begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{\omega^1}{f_2} \\ -\dfrac{\omega^2}{f} \end{pmatrix}$ give $\widetilde{\Theta}$, satisfy $u = a$.

Therefore the equivalence boils down to the following condition on the fixed coframe:

$$\Theta = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\dfrac{\omega^1}{f_2} \\ -\dfrac{\omega^2}{f} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\overline{\Theta}$ be associated to the target equation (1.3). Casted in this previous way, the equivalence of equations (1.2) and (1.3) amounts to the existence of a diffeomorphism ϕ such that $\phi^\star(\overline{\Theta}) = \Theta$. We derive now the so-called structure equations. If the components of Θ are (Θ_1, Θ_2), one has

$$\begin{aligned} d\Theta_1 &= \frac{du}{u} \wedge \Theta^1 + \frac{f_x}{u} \Theta_2 \wedge \Theta_1 \\ &= \varpi \wedge \Theta_1 \\ d\Theta_2 &= \frac{du}{u} \wedge \Theta_2 + \frac{f_y}{u} \Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2 \\ &= \varpi \wedge \theta_2; \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

with

$$\varpi = \frac{du}{u} + \frac{f_x}{u} \Theta_2 + \frac{f_y}{u} \Theta_1.$$

We see that ϖ is defined uniquely as one can not modify it with substitutions of the form $\varpi \to \varpi + t_1\theta_1 + t_2\theta_2$, because otherwise the structure equations would change; moreover the reduced Cartan character σ_1 equals to 1, the others vanish. Let us remind briefly what the reduced Cartan characters for the system of equation (2.3) are.

Consider the matrix of one-forms

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix}$$

and define inductively $\Sigma_0 = \{0\}$, then in Σ_1 we put all the independent one forms of the previous matrix by taking at most one per line, we get therefore $\Sigma_1 = \{\varpi\}$; the rest of the Σ_i are defined analogously and they vanish.

The reduced Cartan characters σ_i are by definition the respective cardinals of the Σ_i.

Also we recall that the indetermination degree (denoted ID) of the previous system is the number of independent parameters one could introduce by modifying the one form ϖ by suitable combinations of the θ_i. Now the Cartan test of involution says that the system (2.3) is involution if and only if

$$\sum_i i\sigma_i = ID.$$

Thus from the previous remarks we do not have an involutive system and we must prolong it (see [3, lect. 7-10]).

We place now ourselves on the local trivialiazation $U \times G$ with group structure

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and local coordinates} \quad (x, y, u)$$

endowed with the coframe $(\Theta_1, \Theta_2, \varpi)$. The new structure equations are

$$\begin{aligned} d\theta_1 &= \varpi \wedge \Theta_1 \\ d\theta_2 &= \varpi \wedge \Theta_2 \\ d\varpi &= d\left(\frac{du}{u} - \frac{f_x}{f}dx - \frac{f_y}{f}(dy - f dx)\right) \\ &= \frac{f f_{yy}}{u^2}\Theta_1 \wedge \Theta_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

One has

Theorem 2.5. *$I = \dfrac{f_{yy}f}{u^2}$ is the fundamental relative differential invariant of the equivalence problem. It can not be made to vanish identically for the transformations* (1.4) *that we consider. Let X_1, X_2, X_3 be the frame dual to the invariant coframe $(\theta_1, \theta_2, \varpi)$; the successive derivative of I with respect to X_i are also relative differential invariants ie for any equivalence $\widetilde{\chi} : P \to \overline{P}$, with P associated to the source equation and $\overline{P}$ to the target equation, one has if $\overline{I}$ denotes the expression corresponding to I formed from the target equation,*

$$\overline{I} \circ \widetilde{\chi} = I.$$

Also the dual frame is given by

$$X^1 = -\frac{f}{u}\partial_y - f_y\partial_u, \quad X^2 = -\frac{f}{u}(\partial_x + f\partial_y) - f_x\partial_u, \quad X^3 = u_1\partial_{u_1}.$$

Remark 2.6. Consider equation (1.1) with $c_3 \neq 0$. We saw in the previous theorem that $I \not\equiv 0$. Nevertheless when f admits a constant solution of when $y = -\frac{c_2}{c_3}$, I vanishes. The latter case is indeed very interesting. In fact if we insert this value in equation (1.1) then $\frac{1}{c_3^2}\left(-c_3\frac{dc_2}{dx} + c_2\frac{dc_3}{dx}\right) = \frac{c_0c_3^2 - 3c_1c_2c_3 + 2c_2^3}{c_3^2}$ which says that $s_3 = 0$ (see below) and conversely. This expression s_3 is of fundamental importance for what follows.

3. Representation theoretic study of the Abel equation

We make a slight change of notation from the previous section. The dependent variable is now η and the independent one ξ. When submitted to transformations (1.5) the differential equation (1.1) becomes

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \gamma_0 + 3\gamma_1\eta + 3\gamma_2\eta^2 + \gamma_3\eta^3 \tag{3.1}$$

where if we denote by u', ν' and ξ' the derivatives of the functions u, ν, ξ with respect to variable x, the γ_i are respectively given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_0 &= \frac{c_0 + 3c_1\nu + 3c_2\nu^2 + c_3\nu^3 - \nu'}{\mu u}\\
\gamma_1 &= \frac{c_1 + 2c_2\nu + c_3\nu^2}{\mu} - \frac{u'}{3\mu u}\\
\gamma_2 &= \frac{u(c_2 + c_3\nu)}{\mu}\\
\gamma_3 &= \frac{u^2c_3}{\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

3.1. **Weight and Degree.**

3.1.1. *Weight.* Consider the particular transformations of the form (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned}
y &= \lambda\eta\\
x &= \lambda\xi
\end{aligned}$$

where λ is a constant. They change equation (1.1) into the following

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \gamma_0 + 3\gamma_1\eta + 3\gamma_2\eta^2 + \gamma_3\eta^3$$

where

$$\gamma_0 = c_0, \quad \gamma_1 = \lambda c_1, \quad \gamma_2 = \lambda^2 c_2, \quad \gamma_3 = \lambda^3 c_3, \quad \frac{d^k\gamma_i}{d\xi^k} = \lambda^{i+k}\frac{d^kc_i}{dx^k}.$$

We attribute to $\frac{d^kc_i}{dx^k}$ the weight $i + k$.

3.1.2. *Degree.* The substitutions

$$y = \eta$$
$$x = \mu\xi$$

where μ is a constant, change equation (1.1) into

$$\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \gamma_0 + 3\gamma_1\eta + 3\gamma_2\eta^2 + \gamma_3\eta^3$$

with

$$\frac{d^k\gamma_i}{d\xi^k} = \mu^{1+k}\frac{d^k c_i}{dx^k};$$

we attribute to $\dfrac{d^k c_i}{dx^k}$ the degree $1+k$. This degree is independent of i.

Remark 3.1. More generally under transformations of the type

$$(x, y) = (\lambda^s\xi, \lambda^r\eta),$$

one gets

$$\frac{d^k\gamma_i}{d\xi^k} = \lambda^{(k+1)s+r(i-1)}\frac{d^k c_i}{dx^k}.$$

3.2. **Canonical form.** Consider the substitutions 1.5) and their induced action on the coefficients c_i of the differential equation (1.1) (equation (3.2)). We set the

Definition 3.2. An invariant Z of the differential equation (1.1) is a function on the infinite jet space of its coefficients c_i which we remind has locally for coordinates the derivatives of the c_i for any order, such that if we denote by $t(u, \nu, \mu)$ a substitution of type (1.5), there exists a function χ depending only on t:

$$t.Z = \chi(t)Z.$$

χ is called a multiplier. When $\chi \equiv 1$ we say that the invariant is absolute.

It is possible to eliminate in equation (1.1) the coefficients of y and y^2. We do so by setting

$$y = YU + V, \quad \frac{dX}{dx} = M(x)$$

with U, V, M chosen in order to make the coefficients of Y and Y^2 become zero and the coefficient of Y^3 equal to 1. One finds

$$V = -\frac{c_2}{c_3}, \quad U = c_3 e^{3\int \frac{c_1c_3 - c_2^2}{c_3}dx}, \quad M = c_3U^2;$$

and equation (1.1) becomes

$$\frac{dY}{dX} = Y^3 + J, \tag{3.3}$$

with

$$J = \frac{s_3}{c_3^3U^3}, \quad s_3 = c_0c_3^2 - 3c_1c_2c_3 + 2c_2^3 + c_3\frac{dc_2}{dx} - c_2\frac{dc_3}{dx}.$$

We call equation (3.3) the canonical form of equation (1.1).

Remark 3.3. As an expression of the c_i and their derivatives, s_3 is of degree 3 and weight 6.

Given a function $E(c_1, c_2, \cdots, \frac{dc_1}{dx}, \cdots)$ of the c_i and their derivatives with respect to x, one will use index 1 from now on, to denote the functions $E_1(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \frac{d\gamma_1}{d\xi})$ of the γ_i and their derivatives with respect to ξ obtained from E by replacing the c_i and their derivatives with the γ_i and their derivatives with respect to ξ.

With this in mind, we easily see from the formulas (3.2) that c_3 is a relative invariant of the differential equation (1.1). More precisely one has:

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{u^2}{\mu} c_3.$$

Moreover

$$U_1 := \frac{U}{u}, \quad (s_3)_1 = \frac{u^3}{\mu^3} s_3 \quad \text{and} \quad J_1 = \frac{(s_3)_1}{\gamma_3^3 U_1^3} = J.$$

So J is an absolute invariant. Finally the $\frac{d^k J}{d^k X}$ are all absolute invariants as

$$\frac{dX_1}{d\xi} := \gamma_3 U_1^2 = \frac{c_3}{\mu} U^2 \Rightarrow X_1 = X.$$

Every function of these quantities is an absolute invariant and every absolute invariant is a function of them as seen immediately by computing that invariant on the canonical form (3.3).

Remark 3.4. The relative invariant s_3 was first considered by Roger Liouville in [7] by pure computations. Moreover to any equation (1.1) studied under transformation (1.5) one can associate in a unique way the formulas of transformations (3.2), which define a representation of the pseudo-group (1.5), and conversely. One does so by identifying the equation with the quadruplet $(c_0, \cdots, c_3)$.

The $\frac{d^k J}{dX^k}$ are absolute invariants which can be expressed with the c_i and their derivatives. To see that one starts with

$$J = \frac{s_3}{c_3^3 U^3}, \quad \frac{dX}{dx} = c_3 U^2, \quad U = \exp^{3 \int \frac{c_1 c_3 - c_2^2}{c_3} dx}.$$

Let us set with Roger Liouville [7]

$$s_{2n+1} = c_3 \frac{d}{dx} s_{2n-1} - (2n-1) s_{2n-1} \left[\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3(c_1 c_3 - c_2^2) \right], \quad n \geqslant 2.$$

We then have

Proposition 3.5.

$$\frac{d^n J}{dX^n} = \frac{s_{2n+3}}{c_3^{2n+3} U^{2n+3}}, \quad k \geqslant 0,$$

and

$$(s_{2n+1})_1 = \frac{u^{2n+1}}{\mu^{2n+1}} s_{2n+1}, \quad n \geqslant 1.$$

Therefore

$$(s_{2n+1})_1 = 0 \Leftrightarrow s_{2n+1} = 0, \quad n \geqslant 1.$$

As $\dfrac{u}{\mu} = \dfrac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(\xi,\eta)}$*: the Jacobian of transformation* (1.5)*, one can say* s_{2n+1} *defines a* $2n+1$ *tensor for any* n*. Moreover the* s_{2n+1} *are polynomials of the* c_i *and their derivatives. They are also of weight* $4n+2$ *and degree* $2n+1$ *for* $k \geq 1$*.*

Proof. The rank 0 case is already known. Suppose as induction hypothesis that the first formula holds for rank $n \geqslant 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^{n+1}J}{dX^{n+1}} &:= \frac{1}{c_3U^2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{d^nJ}{dX^n}\right)\\ &= \frac{c_3^{2n+3}U^{2n+3}\frac{ds_{2n+3}}{dx} - (2n+3)\left[\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3(c_1c_3 - c_2^2)\right]c_3^{2n+2}U^{2n+3}}{c_3^{4n+7}U^{4n+8}}\end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired results after simplification and using the fact that the $\dfrac{d^nJ}{dX^n}$ are absolute invariants.

We saw that s_3 is of degree 3 and weight 6. Using a straightforward recurrence argument we see that s_{2n+1} is of weight $4n+2$ and degree $2n+1$ for $n \geq 1$ (use the argument that derivation with respect to x increases the weight and the degree by one and the fact that the c_i are of weight i and degree 1). □

Remark 3.6. If we define

$$\nabla_{2n+1} := c_3\frac{d}{dx} - (2n-1)\left(\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)\right),$$

we observe that it sends the relative invariants s_{2n-1} of degree $2n-1$ to the relative invariants s_{2n+1} of degree $2n+1$.

Bearing in mind the previous notations and results we collect the following

Lemma 3.7. *There are natural filtrations by weight and degree on the polynomial ring*

$$\mathbb{C}\left[c_i, \frac{dc_i}{dx}, \cdots, 1 \leq i \leq 4\right].$$

We illustrate the theory with the following remarkable property: $\dfrac{\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)}{c_3}$ plays the role of an affine connection. Indeed with the previous notations (equation (3.2) and proposition 3.5) one has after a change of coordinate (1.5)

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\frac{d\gamma_3}{d\xi} + 3\left(\gamma_1\gamma_3 - \gamma_2^2\right)}{\gamma_3} &= \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)}{c_3} + \frac{1}{u^2}\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{u^2}{\mu}\right) - \frac{u'}{u\mu}\\ &= \frac{1}{\mu}\frac{\frac{dc_3}{dx} + 3\left(c_1c_3 - c_2^2\right)}{c_3} + \frac{1}{\mu}d\log\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(\xi,\eta)}\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\frac{d\gamma_3}{d\xi}+3\left(\gamma_1\gamma_3-\gamma_2^2\right)}{\gamma_3}d\xi-d\log\frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(\xi,\eta)}dx=\frac{\frac{dc_3}{dx}+3\left(c_1c_3-c_2^2\right)}{c_3}dx. \tag{3.5}$$

Definition 3.8. We remind that given a Riemann surface with coordinates $\tilde{z}$, $z,\cdots$, an affine connection on M is an object which is represented by local differentials $r(z)dz$, $\tilde{r}(\tilde{z})d\tilde{z},\cdots$, one for each coordinate variable glued together according to the rule

$$\tilde{r}(\tilde{z})d\tilde{z}=r(z)dz-\{\tilde{z},z\}_1dz$$

with $\{\tilde{z},z\}_1=\dfrac{d}{dz}log\dfrac{d\tilde{z}}{dz}$. In the presence of an affine connection, it is possible to define, for every $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, a covariant derivative ∇_n from n-th order differentials to $n+1$ ones, by $\phi(dz)^n\to(\nabla_n\phi)(dz)^{n+1}$, where

$$\nabla_n\phi=\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial z}-nr\phi.$$

See [4, pages. 164-174].

Main Theorem. $\dfrac{\left(\frac{dc_3}{dx}+3\left(c_1c_3-c_2^2\right)\right)}{c_3}$ *is an affine connection. Therefore for any* $n\in\mathbb{Z}$

$$\nabla_n=\frac{d}{dx}-\frac{n\left(\frac{dc_3}{dx}+3\left(c_1c_3-c_2^2\right)\right)}{c_3}$$

is a covariant derivative.

Let us seek the most general transformation of the form (1.5) which sends a canonical form to another one. This will enable us to give the moduli space of Abel equations.

Using formulas (3.3) one must have $\gamma_1=\gamma_2=0$ and $\gamma_3=1$ under the constraints $c_1=c_2=0$ and $c_3=1$. This leads to $\nu=0$, $u'=0$ ie $u=K$ and $\mu=\dfrac{1}{K^2}$.

So we obtain the transformations

$$\begin{aligned}W&=\frac{1}{K^2}(X+h),\ \text{with } K\neq0,\ h \text{ constants.}\\ Z&=KY\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let $\tau_h^X:(X,Y)\to X+h$ and $H_K^Y:(X,Y)\to KY$, then one can represent transformations (3.6) as $(H_{K^{-1}}^X)^2\circ\tau_h^X$.

Now we observe that canonical forms divide the set of Abel equations into equivalence classes that is to say two Abel equations equivalence under the transformations (1.5) if and only if they have the same canonical form. The substitutions (3.6) on the other hand enable us to pass from one canonical form to another; they therefore give a partition of the set of canonical forms or again the set of orbits of Abel equations under the transformations (1.5). Thence we have the

Proposition 3.9. *The moduli space of Abel equations submitted to the gauge transformations* (1.5) *is given by the two dimensional Lie transformation group of equation* (3.6).

References

1. Niels Abel, *Précis d'une théorie des fonctions elliptiques*, J. Reine. Angew. Math **4** (1829), 309–348.
2. B. Dubrovin, *Geometry of 2d topological field theories*, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
3. Robert B. Gardner, *The method of equivalence and its applications*, CBMS-NSF Regional Conference series in Applied Mathematics, no. 58, Society For Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 1989.
4. R. C. Gunning, *Lectures on* R*iemann surfaces.*, Princeton Mathematical Notes. Princeton. N. J.: Princeton University Press, 1966.
5. Bjorn Gustafsson and Jaak Peetre, *Notes on projective structures on complex manifolds*, Nagoya Mathematical Journal **116** (1989), 63–88.
6. Niky Kamran, *Contributions to the study of the equivalence problem of* Élie C*artan and its applications to partial and ordinary differential equations*, Acad. Roy. Belg. Cl. Sci. Mém. Collect. **80 (2) 45** (1989), 122.
7. Roger Liouville, *Sur une classe d'équations différentielles du premier ordre et les formations invariantes qui s'y rapportent*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. **105** (1887), 460–463.

Oumar Wone, Univ. Bordeaux, IMB,, UMR 5251, F-33400 Talence, France.
E-mail address: oumar.wone@math.u-bordeaux1.fr